

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O. Box 1450 pgimia 22313-1450 www.upobje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,445	01/29/2001	Hynda K. Kleinman	2600-109	1045
6440 75590 8801/2012 ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 607 14th Street, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			NIEBAUER, RONALD T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1654	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2012	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail  $\,$  address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 09/772,445	Applicant(s) KLEINMAN ET AL.
Examiner RONALD NIEBAUER	Art Unit 1654

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

	REPLY FILED <u>23 July 2012</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	N IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.			
N ON	OTICE OF APPEAL FILED				
1. 🛛	he reply was filed after a final rejection. No Notice of Appeal has been filed. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file ne of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance;				
	(2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41. 37 CFR 1.114 if this is a utility or plant application. Note that RCEs are the following time periods:	.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with e not permitted in design applications. The reply must be filed within one of			
a١	The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection			
b)		ry Action; or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later.			
c)	within 2 months of the mailing date of the final rejection. The curn the prior Advisory Action or SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a), (b FIRST RESPONSE TO APPLICANT'S FIRST AFTER-FINA	f the final rejection, whichever is earlier.  o) or (c). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THIS ADVISORY ACTION IS THE AL REPLY WHICH WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL TUATION SET FORTH UNDER BOX (c). See MPEP 706.07(f).			
extena appro set in mailin	sion fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the priate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the	period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The ne expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally ked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the			
13MP	NDMENTS				
3. XX	The proposed amendments filed after a final rejection, but prior to	the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because			
_	a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat				
	b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):				
	c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for			
	d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding	anding number of finally rejected claims.			
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	1.33(a)).			
л П	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):				
		f submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-			
	allowable claim(s).	not be entered, or (b) will be entered, and an explanation of how the			
	reor purposes of appear, the proposed amendment(s): (a) \( \square\) will 1 new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or ap DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after final action, but before or	on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because s why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier			
_	because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> reject and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier pres				
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the s JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	tatus of the claims after entry is below or attached.			
11. 🗵	The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO See Continuation Sheet.	OT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/Other:	(08) Paper No(s)			
TATU	S OF CLAIMS				
I. The	status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
CI	aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 295-298,301,302,304,309,310,313-315,317-322 and 324. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 299,300,303,305-308,311,312,316 and 323.					
	cilia J Tsang/ ervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1654	/Ronald T Niebauer/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654			

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Claim 295 has been amended. The amended claim will have to be evaluated to determine whether or not the claim language narrows, broadens, or is the equivalent of the previously examined claim language. Since claim 295 has been amended, all of the dependent claims will have to be reconsidered to determine whether or not they comply with 112 4th paragraph, it is also noted that claims 31 and 319 have been amended. Claims 313 and 319 will have to reconsidered to determine whether or not the claim language narrows, broadens, or is the equivalent of the previously examined claim language. It is noted that page 53° baragraph of the final reject of (2/1/12) recited a specific interpretation of claims 313 and 319. The interpretation of such claims will have to be reconsidered in light of the claim amendments. The claim amendments do not merely cancel claims or adopt examiner suquestions as discussed in MPEEP 714.00.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the instant case the amendment has not been entered because the amendment raises new issues that require further consideration and/or search. Since the amendment has not been entered, the previous rejections (see final rejection 21/12) remain of record.